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#### Abstract

Diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)malonate undergoes linear dimerization with formation of tetraethyl 2,3-diferrocenylbutane-1,1,4,4-tetracarboxylate when treated with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$. Under similar conditions, ethyl ( $E$ )-2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)benzoylacetate and ethyl ( $E, Z$ )-2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)acetoacetate afford linear dimerization products (3,4-diferrocenyladipic acid derivatives) and intramolecular cyclization products of the latter (3,4-diferrocenylcyclopentanol derivatives). No products of the Kulinkovich reaction (hydroxycyclopropanation of the ester groups) were observed. The structures of the compounds obtained were established based on data from IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and X-ray diffraction analysis. The mechanistic aspects of these reactions are discussed. Electrochemical properties of the compounds $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were investigated using cyclic voltammetry, one step potential chronoamperometry and square wave voltammetry. Two electrochemical processes (I-II), attributed to the oxidations of the ferrocenes moieties, $E^{0 \prime}(\mathrm{I}), E^{0 \prime}(\mathrm{II}), \Delta E^{0 \prime}(\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I})$ and comproportionation constant $K_{\text {com }}$ are reported.


© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Organometallic derivatives of the main group metals (organolithium, organomagnesium, organozinc, organoaluminum compounds) are widely used in synthetic organic chemistry as monocarbanionic nucleophiles in reactions with electrophiles [1,2]. In the presence of stoichiometric or catalytic amounts of transition metal complexes, structural transformations of monocarbanionic equivalents can occur leading to new, e.g., bis-anionic intermediates [3,4]. The transformations pertaining to this type of reactions include hydroxycyclopropanation of ester groups (the Kulinkovich reaction) under the action of, formally, ethylene bis-anion derived from ethylmagnesium bromide (ethyl monoanion) in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ [5-7] (Scheme 1).

In recent years, the Kulinkovich reaction in its diverse versions has widely been used for the construction of the hydroxycyclopropane ring in different types of organic compounds,

[^0]mainly, starting from esters of saturated acids or unsaturated nonconjugated acids [8].

As to esters of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acids, they have not virtually been studied. The only publication known [9] is devoted to the introduction of the hydroxycyclopropane ring into acrylates, cyclohex-1-enecarboxylates, and cyclopent-1-enecarboxylates. No studies on the behaviour of metallocene derivatives under the conditions of the Kulinkovich reaction have been carried out so far.

As a continuation of our research into ferrocenylcyclopropanes and ferrocenylcyclopropenes, it was of interest to investigate the Kulinkovich reaction as a feasible route for the conversion of alkoxycarbonyl derivatives of the ferrocene series into the hydroxycyclopropane-containing compounds. In the present work, we report the results of studies of reactions of 2-(ferrocenylme-thylidene)-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with $\mathrm{EtMgBr} / \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$.

## 2. Results and discussion

Diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)malonate (2), ethyl (E)-2(ferrocenylmethylidene)benzoylacetate ( $E-3$ ), and ethyl ( $E, Z$ )-2(ferrocenylmethylidene)acetoacetates ( $E-4$ and $Z-5$ ) were used as


Scheme 1.

$R=O E t(2,6 a) ; R=P h(E-3,6 b) ; R=H_{3}(E-4, Z-5,6 c) ; F c=C_{5} H_{5} F_{5} C_{5} H_{4}$

## Scheme 2.

the starting compounds. They were prepared by coupling ferrocenecarbaldehyde (1) with diethyl malonate ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ ), ethyl benzoylacetate ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ), and ethyl acetoacetate ( $\mathbf{6 c}$ ), respectively, in the presence of piperidinium acetate [10,11] (Scheme 2).

We found that the reaction of diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene) malonate $\mathbf{2}$ with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ afforded $68 \%$ of linear dimer ( $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) as a ca. 2:1 mixture of two diastereomers 7a and 7b ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data). In addition, the reduction product of the starting compound, viz., diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethyl)malonate (8), and the 1,4 -adduct of EtMgBr to the conjugated system, viz., diethyl 2-(1ferrocenylpropyl)malonate (9), were also isolated (Scheme 3).

The structures of compounds $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{8}$, and $\mathbf{9}$ isolated by column chromatography on alumina were established based on the data from IR and NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis. The dimeric nature of compounds $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ followed from their mass spectra, which contain peaks ( $m / z=714$ ) corresponding to the doubled molecular weight of the starting ester $\mathbf{2}\left[2 \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbf{2})+2\right]$.

The diastereomers 7a and 7b differ in physical parameters (m.p., $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ ), and exhibit similar NMR spectral characteristics, which suggest their symmetrical structures (Fig. 1a, b, respectively). Thus the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the dimers $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ contain each two characteristic triplets and two quadruplets for the protons of four $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and four $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups of the four -COOEt groups, one singlet for the protons of two unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl rings of two ferrocene substituents, one doublet for the protons of two methyne groups and one multiplet for the protons of two FcCH -fragments.

The spatial structure of the major diastereomer 7a (yield ca. $40 \%$ ) was elucidated by X-ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal obtained by crystallization from dichloromethane. The general view of the molecule 7a is shown in Fig. 2. It follows from these data that compound 7a is tetraethyl $2 S^{*}, 3 R^{*}$-2,3-diferrocenylbutane-$1,1,4,4$-tetracarboxylate. The structure of tetraethyl $2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}-2$, 3-diferrocenylbutane-1,1,4,4-tetracarb-oxylate was assigned to diastereomer $\mathbf{7 b}$.

We found further that the reactions of ethyl ( $E$ )-2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)benzoylacetate ( $E-3$ ) and ethyl ( $E, Z$ )-2-(ferrocenylmethylidene) acetoacetates ( $E-4$ and $Z-5$ ) with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ afford, in addition to linear $(\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1})$ dimers, the corresponding cyclic products (12,13) (ca. 1:2, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data) in a total yield of $65-71 \%$. The stereochemistry of acetylacetates ( $E$ - or $Z$-) did not virtually influence the ratio of cyclic and linear dimers. As in the case of reaction with malonate 2 , the reduction products of the starting compounds $(\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5})$ and the 1,4 -adducts of EtMgBr to the system of conjugated double bonds (16a,b, 17a,b) (Scheme 4) also represented minor components.

The structures of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{1 7}$ were established by spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of dimers 10 and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are shown in Fig. 1c, d. The spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) suggest that compounds
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Fig. 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrums: (a) of $\mathbf{7 a}$; (b) of $\mathbf{7 b}$; (c) of $\mathbf{1 0}$; (d) of $\mathbf{1 2}$.

10-13 represent each single diastereomer and compounds 16, 17 represent two diastereomers ( $\mathbf{1 6 a , b}$ and 17a,b).

The dimeric nature of compounds $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ followed from their mass spectra, which contain peaks ( $m / z=778$ for $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 12,654 for 11 and 13) corresponding to the doubled molecular weight of the starting esters E-3, E-4 and Z-5.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the linear dimer 10 contains characteristic signals for two protons of the enolic hydroxyl groups ( $\delta 13.24$ ), one triplet for six protons of two methyl groups (-COOEt), one singlet for the protons of unsubstituted $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ rings of two ferrocenes, and a multiplet for the protons of two Ph groups
(Fig. 1c). The presence in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ of one signal for two methyl groups, two ferrocenyl fragments, two $C_{i p s o}$ Fc carbon atoms, two $C_{i p s o}$ carbon atoms, two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups, and presence of two signals for two $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{OH})=\mathrm{C}-$ fragments [ $\delta 106.40$ (2C) and $171.75(2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm}]$ corroborates completely the suggested symmetric structure.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the cyclodimer 12 contains signals for the protons of two methyl groups ( - COOEt), two $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ fragments of two ferrocenyl substituents, two doublets and one doublet of doublets for the methine protons of the cyclopentane ring (Fig. 1d).


Fig. 2. Molecular structure of 7a. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ : $C(11)-C(12)=1.567(3), C$ (13) $-\mathrm{O}(4)=1.333(3), \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{a})=1.544(4), \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)=1.515(3), \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(1)=$ 1.193(3), $C(16)-O(2)=1.326(3), C(13)-O(3)=1.187(2)$. Selected bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): $C$ (12) $-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{a})=109.85(19), \quad \mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{a})=114.4(2), \quad \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)=$ $123.6(2), O(1)-C(16)-C(12)=126.3(2), O(2)-C(16)-C(12)=110.01(18), C(7)-C(6)-C$ $(10)=106.91(18), C(7)-C(6)-C(11)=123.85(19), C(7)-C(6)-F e(1)=68.19(12)$.

The spatial structure of cyclodimer $\mathbf{1 2}$ could be elucidated by X-ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal obtained by crystallization from chloroform. The general view of the molecule $\mathbf{1 2}$ is shown in Fig. 3.

The key element of the structure is the central cyclopentane ring in the envelope conformation. The ferrocenyl substituents are trans oriented to each other as are the hydrogen atoms at $\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16)$, and $C(17)$. The lengths of the bonds $C(14)-C(18), C(14)-C(15)$, and $C(17)-C(18)$ of the cyclopentadiene ring are equal to $1.605(4), 1.574$ (4), and $1.573(4) \AA$, respectively, which exceed somewhat the standard $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds (cf. literature data $[12,13]$ : $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=1.54 \AA$ ). The data from the X-ray diffraction analysis show that compound 12 is $2 R^{*}$-benzoyl-2,5S*-diethoxycarbonyl- $3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}$-diferrocenyl$1 R^{*}$-phenylcyclopentanol. An analogous structure was assigned to


Fig. 3. Molecular structure of 12. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ : $C(14)-C(15)=1.577(4), C$ $(15)-C(16)=1.545(4), C(16)-C(17)=1.525(4), C(17)-C(18)=1.573(4), C(14)-C(13)=$ $1.558(4), \quad C(14)-C(22)=1.526(4), \quad C(13)-O(1)=1.213(3), \quad C(22)-O(3)=1.203(4)$. Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): C(14)-C(15)-C(16)=105.5(2), C(15)-C(14)-C(18)=105.4$ (2), $C(22)-C(14)-C(13)=111.0(3), O(3)-C(22)-O(4)=123.8(3), O(2)-C(18)-C(7)=$ $106.0(2), C(22)-C(14)-C(15)=106.0(2), O(2)-C(18)-C(17)=111.4(2), C(14)-C(15)-C$ $(25)=112.0(2)$.
cyclodimer 13. Our attempts to obtain crystals of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis failed, therefore we could not unambiguously establish the spatial structures of these linear dimers.

The formation of dimers with linear ( $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$ ) and cyclic structures ( $\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$ ) and reduction products $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}$ ) of 2-(ferroce-nylmethylidene)-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds ( $\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{5}$ ) upon treatment with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right)_{4}$ proceeds, in our opinion, via dialkoxytitanate $\mathbf{1}$ as in the Kulinkovich reaction [5-7]. This intermediate generated in the first step plays the role of ethylene bisanion in the reaction with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, but then adds, unlike the Kulinkovich reaction, at positions 1,4 of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ system of multiple bonds (Scheme 5).

The intermediate 5 -membered oxatitanacycle 18 with a 4,5 double bond is converted to the reduction product $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5})$ or
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1

serves as a monoanionic equivalent in subsequent reactions with 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds according to Schemes 6 and 7:

These dimerization schemes are supported by the following experimental facts: (1) the absence of hydroxycyclopropanation products of the ethoxycarbonyl groups in neither of reactions studied; (2) isolation of the reduction products of the starting 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds; (3) identification of linear dimers in the enol 10, ketone 7a,b, 11 and cyclic 12, 13 forms.

Particular attention should be paid to the diastereoselectivity of the dimerization processes, i.e., the formation of two linear diastereomers $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ (ca. 2:1) or linear $(\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1})$ and cyclic $(\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3})$ products (ca.1.5:1).

## 3. Electrochemistry

Fig. 4 shows cyclic voltammetric response of compound 7a in acetonitrile containing 0.1 M tetra- N -butylammonium tetrafluoroborate ( $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4}$ ).

When the potential scan was initiated to a positive direction, two oxidation signals ( $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{I I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) were observed. When the cycle was completed, two complementary reduction signals ( $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{I I}_{\mathbf{c}}$ ) were also detected. The anodic peak currents values for signals $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{I I}_{\mathbf{a}}$ were independent of scan rate, which indicates that both responses are diffusion-controlled. In order to obtain the number of exchanged electrons in each process, one-step potential chronoamperometry experiments were performed at a potential step corresponding to the potential peak values, $E_{\mathrm{pa}}(\mathbf{I})$ and $E_{\mathrm{pa}}(\mathbf{I I})$ (Fig. 5).

For two consecutive electron transfer reactions controlled by diffusion, the currents are established by the Cottrell equations (1) and (2) [14]. These relationships indicate that when a potential is held at the electrode surface with a constant value that promotes either the first (Eq. (1)) or the second electrochemical reaction (Eq. (2)), the current depends on the diffusion of the electroactive species from a solution to the electrode surface and the number of exchanged electrons. Under these conditions, the current $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})$ is a function of $\mathrm{t}^{-1 / 2}$, due to the growth of a diffusion layer caused by the electrolysis near the electrode.


Scheme 7.


Fig. 4. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for $\mathbf{7 a}$ in the presence of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBABF}_{4}$ in acetonitrile. The scan potential was initiated from $E_{\text {ocp }}$ to positive direction. The scan rate $0.1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The working electrode used was platinum.


Fig. 5. One-step potential chronoamperometric experiments for 7a in the presence of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBABF}{ }_{4}$ in acetonitrile. The initial potential was the open circuit potential value $E_{\text {ocp. }}$. The potential step $\left(E_{1}\right)$ was (A) $E_{\mathrm{pa}}(\mathbf{I})$ and (B) $E_{\mathrm{pa}}(\mathbf{I I})$. Pulse width time of 0.1 s .


Fig. 6. Square wave voltammetry obtained for 7 a in the presence of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBABF}{ }_{4}$ in acetonitrile. The amplitude was 50 mV with a frequency of 10 Hz . The working electrode used was platinum.

Table 1
Formal electrode potential $E^{0}(\mathbf{I}), E^{0}(\mathbf{I I})$ and $\Delta E^{0}(\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{I})$, and constant $K_{\text {com }}$ for compounds 7a, 10 and 12. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | $E^{0}(\mathrm{I})$ | $E^{0}(\mathrm{II})$ | $\Delta E^{0}(\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I})$ | $K_{\text {com }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 a}$ | 0.015 | 0.120 | 0.105 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.019 | 0.073 | 0.054 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | -0.017 | 0.093 | 0.110 | 73 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Formal electrode potential $\left(E^{0 \prime}\right)$ vs ferrocene/ferrocenium in the presence of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBABF}_{4}$-acetonitrile. Obtained by square wave voltammetry.
$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})=n_{1} F \times A \times D_{0}^{1 / 2} \times \pi^{-1 / 2} \times \mathrm{Co}^{*} t^{-1 / 2}$
$I(t)=\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) F \times A \times D_{0}^{1 / 2} \times \pi^{-1 / 2} \times \mathrm{Co}^{*} t^{-1 / 2}$
For processes I and II, two linear relationships of current $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{t}^{-1 / 2}$ were obtained, with the equations $I_{\mathbf{I}}(t)=3.9 t^{-1 / 2}+0.36$ ( $r=0.999$ ) and $I_{\text {II }}(t)=8.1 t^{-1 / 2}+0.8(r=0.999)$. Considering the same values of diffusion coefficient, the ratio of the slopes from the obtained relationships, allows us to estimate $n_{1}=1$ and $n_{2}=1$. This evidence indicates that processes I and II are attributed to the two consecutive one-electron transfers for ferrocene moieties. To obtain the formal electrode potential for both processes, square wave voltammetry experiments were carried out (Fig. 6).

The obtained values for processes I and II, were $E^{01}(\boldsymbol{I})=0.015 \mathrm{~V} /$ $\mathrm{Fc}-\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$and $E^{0 \prime}(\mathbf{I I})=0.120 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{Fc}-\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$. The value of $\Delta E^{0 \prime}(\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{I})$ for processes I and II was 0.105 V and the corresponding value of comproportionation constant $K_{\text {com }}$ was 60 [14,15]. The electrochemical response of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ is very similar than the presented in compound 7a. Table 1 shows a summary of the
 and 12. The estimated values of $K_{\text {com }}$ for all compounds suggest that the electronic charge is slightly delocalized in the mixed valence state generated electrochemically according to the Robin-Day

Table 2
Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for compounds 7a and $\mathbf{1 2}$.

| Data | 7a | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 714.40 | 778.48 |
| Temperature (K) | 298 (2) | 293 (2) |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P21/n | P-1 |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 10.0544 (6) | 8.2180 (2) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 18.9430 (6) | 12.5620 (5) |
| $c(A)$ | 10.0633 (5) | 17.6610 (6) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 87.120 (3) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 118.258 (7) | 78.448 (3) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 86.795 (3) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1688.24 (18) | 1782.08 (10) |
| Z | 2 | 2 |
| $D$ calc. ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mm}^{-3}$ ) | 1.405 | 1.451 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.910 | 0.865 |
| $F(000)$ | 748 | 812 |
| Radiation, $\lambda$ ( $\AA$ ) | Mo-K $\alpha, 0.71073$ | Mo-K $\alpha, 0.71073$ |
| Monochromator | Graphite | Graphite |
| $\theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 3.15-29.48 | 3.38-26.73 |
| Reflections collected | 6259 | 16187 |
| Reflections independent | 3460 | 7511 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0180 | 0.0238 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0357 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0905 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0506 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1425 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0566, \\ & w R_{2}=0.0963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0788 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1563 \end{aligned}$ |
| Refinable parameters | 232 | 453 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 0.985 | 1.086 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix-leastsquares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix-leastsquares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Minimum/maximum residual electron density (e $\AA^{-3}$ ) | -0.287/0.303 | -0.930/1.246 |

classification [15,16]. These values are in agreement with the presence of ethylene group between the ferrocene moieties [17]. It can be noticed that the presence of four COOEt groups in compound 7a enhances the electronic charge delocalization ( $K_{\text {com }}=60$ ) compared with its analogue, compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(K_{\text {com }}=8\right)$, where there are only two COOEt groups.

## 4. Conclusion

Thus, diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)malonate (2), ethyl (E)-2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)benzoylacetate ( $\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{3}$ ) and ethyl ( $E, Z$ )-2(ferrocenylmethylidene)acetoacetate ( $\boldsymbol{E}-\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{Z - 5}$ ) produce no hydroxycyclopropyl derivatives in reactions with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ but rather undergo stereoselective dimerization into linear and cyclic polyfunctional products.

## 5. Experimental

All the solvents were dried according to the standard procedures and were freshly distilled before use. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compounds 2-17 were recorded on a Unity Inova Varian spectrometer ( 300 and 75 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, respectively) of solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as the internal standard. Chemical shifts are given in ppm and $J$ values in Hz . The IR spectra of samples prepared as KBr pellets were measured with an FTIR spectrophotometer (Spectrum RXI Perkin-Elmer instruments). The mass spectra were obtained on a Varian-MAT CH-6 instrument (EI, 70 eV ). Elementar Analysensysteme LECO CHNS-900 was used for elemental analyses. Column chromatography was carried out on alumina (Brockmann activity III).

The unit cell parameters and the X-ray diffraction intensities were recorded on a Siemens P4 diffractometer. The crystallographic data, the experimental conditions, and corrections are given in Table 2.The structures of compounds $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were solved by the direct method (SHELXS-97 [18]) and refined using full-matrix leastsquares on $F^{2}$.

All electrochemical studies were performed at sample concentrations of ca. 1 mM in acetonitrile containing 0.1 M tetra- N -butylammonium tetrafluoroborate ( $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4}$ ) using an Epsilon-BAS potentiostat/galvanostat. A typical three-electrode array was employed. The working electrode was a platinum $\operatorname{disk}(\varphi=2 \mathrm{~mm})$. A platinum wire served as a counter-electrode. A silver wire immersed in acetonitrile solution with 0.1 M tetra- N -butylammonium chloride ( TBACl ), in a separate compartment connected to the working cell through a BAS vycor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ tip, was used as a pseudo reference electrode. All solutions were bubbled with nitrogen prior each measurement. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were initiated from open circuit potential ( $E_{\text {ocp }}$ ) to a positive direction using a range of scan rate from 0.1 to $0.5 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. One step potential chronoamperometry experiments with a pulse width time of 1 s were acquired from open circuit potential ( $E_{\text {ocp }}$ ) to different potential values ( $E_{2}$ ) which correspond to anodic peak potential values. Square wave voltammetry experiments with amplitude of 50 mV and a frequency of 10 Hz were also performed. All potentials were reported versus the couple $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$according to IUPAC convention [19].

The following reagents were purchased from Aldrich: ferrocenecarbaldehyde, 99\%; diethyl malonate, 99\%; ethyl acetoacetate, $99+\%$; ethyl benzoylacetate, $90 \%$; ethylmagnesium bromide, 3.0 M solution in diethyl ether; titanium (IV) isopropoxide, $97 \%$.

Diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)malonate 2, ethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)benzoylacetate $\boldsymbol{E}-\mathbf{3}$ and ethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)acetoacetates $\boldsymbol{E}-\mathbf{4}$ and Z-5 were prepared by condensation of ferrocenecarbaldehyde with diethyl malonate, ethyl benzoylacetate and ethyl acetoacetate, respectively, in benzene in the presence of
piperidinium acetate [10,11]. The physical and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic characteristics of compounds $\mathbf{2 , E} \boldsymbol{E}-\mathbf{3}, \boldsymbol{E}-4$ and $\mathbf{Z - 5}$ were in accord with the literature data [20-22].

### 5.1. Reactions of diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethylidene)malonate (2) with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$

(a) A solution of EtMgBr in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added dropwise with stirring at $10-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of compound $2(3.56 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{1} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and ether $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ in an inert dry atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h and quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic layer was separated, washed with water and $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed on a column with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (activity III) to afford the following reaction products: diethyl 2-(ferrocenylmethyl)malonate ( 8 ) $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%$, eluted with hexane); diethyl 2-(1-ferrocenylpropyl)malonate (9) ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%$, eluted with hexane); dimer $\mathbf{7 b}$ ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$, eluted with hexane-ethyl acetate, $1: 10$ ) and dimer $7 \mathrm{a}(1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$, eluted with hex-ane-ethyl acetate, 1:2).
(b) A solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(0^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Pr}\right)_{4}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 50 ml ) was added dropwise to a 1.0 M solution of EtMgBr in THF ( 20 ml ) in an inert dry atmosphere at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting dark-blue solution was stirred for an additional 30 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $2(3.56 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{THF}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise and 100 ml of ether. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with HCl and worked up as described above. The following products were isolated by column chromatography: $8(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%), \mathbf{9}(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%), 7 b(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%)$ and $7 \mathbf{a}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}$, 45\%).

Tetraethyl $2 S^{*}, 3 R^{*}$-2,3-diferrocenylbutane-1,1,4,4-tetracarboxylate (7a), yellow crystals, m.p. $168-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr): $\nu 486,574$, 661, 730, 818, 948, 1001, 1027, 1057, 1105, 1171, 1268, 1291, 1331, 1356, 1392, 1452, 1479, 1510, 1549, 1594, 1649, 1709, 1851, 2920, $2955,3086 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.07\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.35(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.77\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.14(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Fc}), 4.27\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.37\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.39(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.59\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 13.69,14.18\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.42,54.16(4 \mathrm{CH}), 61.21,61.45\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.88$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.36,67.40,69.05,70.46\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 91.13\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right), 168.64$, $170.06(4 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 60.52; H, 5.93; Fe, 15.64. Found: C, $60.41 ;$ H, 6.09 ; Fe, $15.59 \%$. MS: $m / z 714[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Tetraethyl $2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}$-2,3-diferrocenylbutane-1,1,4,4-tetracarboxylate ( $\mathbf{7 b}$ ), yellow powder, m.p. $149-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ): $\nu 416,477,531$, 587, 632, 698, 724, 754, 813, 946, 999, 1025, 1080, 1226, 1325, 1381, 1417, 1460, 1480, 1527, 1549, 1594, 1652, 1712, 1839, 2991, $3057 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.15\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.36\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75-3.93\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Fc}), 4.17\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.31\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 13.81,14.13\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.71,56.72(4 \mathrm{CH}), 61.06,61.20\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $69.02\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.55,66.98,68.59,69.47\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 90.66\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right)$, 168.22, $169.52(4 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 60.52$; H, 5.93; Fe, 15.64. Found: C, 60.68; H, 5.88; Fe, 15.55\%. MS: m/z 714 $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Diethyl 2-ferrocenylmethylmalonate (8), yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 0.80\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.02\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=6.3,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $3.12\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=4.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=4.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.04\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $4.12\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FeO}_{4}$ : C, 60.35 ; H, 6.19; Fe, 15.60. Found: C, 60.41 ; H, 6.23 ; Fe, $15.50 \%$. MS: m/ z 358 [M] ${ }^{+}$.

Diethyl 2-(1-ferrocenylpropyl)malonate (9), yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.17$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.04\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.12\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 12.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $13.83\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.14,54.09(2 \mathrm{CH}), 61.91\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.37$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.86,67.03,67.65,67.68\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 90.97\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right), 168.76$ $(2 \mathrm{C}=0)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FeO}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 62.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.79 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 14.46$. Found: C, 62.14; H, 6.64; Fe, 14.66\%. MS: m/z $386[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.2. Reactions of ethyl 2-ferrocenylmethylidenebenzoylacetate

 (E-3) with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$This was carried out analogously using of $\boldsymbol{E} \mathbf{- 3}(3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ in 50 ml THF), 1.0 M solution of EtMgBr (in 50 ml THF ) and 100 ml of ether. The reaction mixture was worked up as described above, subsequent chromatography on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ gave compounds $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and 16a,b.

Diethyl 1,6-dihydroxy-3,4-diferrocenyl-1,6-diphenylhexa-1,5-diene-2,5-dicarboxylate (10), yield 0.91 g ( $23.3 \%$, hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:2), yellow crystals, m.p. $228-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr): $\nu=482$, $706,779,823,863,918,1002,1022,1045,1105,1126,1182,1236$, $1263,1285,1348,1381,1398,1412,1446,1491,1574,1590,1625$, 2736, 2938, 2977, 3095, $3430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.02\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.88(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.39\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.98(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.53\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.72\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 13.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{OH})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\delta 14.29\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.98(2 \mathrm{CH}), 60.32\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.30\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 65.76, 67.09, 68.36, $69.63\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 93.88$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}$ ), 106.40 (2C), 127.01, 129.23, $129.34\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 136.79$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 171.75 (2C-OH), $173.87(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 67.88$; H, 5.44; Fe , 14.35. Found: C, 67.96 ; H, 5.51 ; Fe, $14.20 \%$. MS: $m / z 778[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.
$2 R^{*}$-Benzoyl-2,5S*-diethoxycarbonyl- $3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}$-diferrocenyl- $1 R^{*}$ phenylcyclopentanol (12), yield 1.84 g ( $47 \%$, hexane-ethyl acetate, $3: 1$ ), yellow crystals, m.p. $183-184{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr): $\nu=431,483,707$, $782,820,863,919,1001,1021,1076,1106,1125,1165,1235,1262$, 1286, 1318, 1380, 1398, 1414, 1463, 1491, 1573, 1589, 1626, 1673, 2735, 2904, 2938, 2977, 3060, 3095, $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\delta 0.49(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.81\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $4.28\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.90\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.32\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $4.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 5.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=7.08$, $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=7.08 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.98-7.55\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 12.62,13.61$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.66,53.64(2 \mathrm{CH}), 60.23,60.89\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.21(\mathrm{CH}), 68.50$, $68.69\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.27,66.52,67.02,67.50,68.28,68.32,70.72,71.45$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 87.12,88.39\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right), 95.92,99.92(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.24,127.29$, 127.32, 127.38, 127.45, $127.81\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 138.65,140.65$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{i p s o}$ ), 170.90, 173.18 (2COOEt), 198.49 ( $\mathrm{PhC}=0$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 67.88; H, 5.44; Fe, 14.35. Found: C, 67.76; H, 5.52; Fe, 14.33\%. MS: $m / z 778[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-ferrocenylmethyl(benzoyl)acetate (14), yield 0.35 g ( $9 \%$, hexane), yellow powder, m.p.107-109 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=6.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $J=5.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=5.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.0\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $4.12\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 11.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 29.16, $35.55\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.17(\mathrm{CH}), 68.40\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.46,66.93,67.04$, $67.57\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 93.94\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right), 128.04,128.55,132.91\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 137.43$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 169.09 (COOEt), $199.80(\mathrm{PhC}=0)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FeO}_{3}$ : C, 67.71; H, 5.68; Fe, 14.31. Found: C, 67.89; H, 5.71; Fe, 14.10\%. MS: m/z $390[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-(1-ferrocenylpropyl)benzoylacetate (16a,b) (~1:1), yield $0.29 \mathrm{~g}(7 \%$, hexane $)$, yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 0.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $1.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.83-2.09\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{Et}\right), 3.38-3.45$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Fc}), 3.88\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.06\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.11$ $\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.14\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $7.87\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 12.38,12.77,13.73,13.90\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.51,26.57\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.54,39.59$ $(2 \mathrm{FcCH}), 59.56,59.61(2 \mathrm{CH}), 61.06,61.20\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 68.48,68.52$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.85,66.89,66.95,67.05,67.18,67.67,68.25,68.93\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 91.14, 91.90 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}$ ), 128.20, 128.27, 128.48, 128.60, 133.08, 133.22 $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 136.6,136.94$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 168.80, 169.06 (2COOEt), 194.14, $194.67(2 \mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FeO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 68.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27 ; \mathrm{Fe}$, 13.35. Found: C, 69.04; H, 6.21; Fe, 13.27\%. MS: $m / z 418[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.
5.3. Reactions of ethyl 2-ferrocenylmethylideneacetoacetates (E-4) and (Z-5) with EtMgBr in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$

This was carried out analogously using of E-4 or Z-5 ( 3.26 g , $10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ in 50 ml THF$), 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution of EtMgBr (in 20 ml THF ) and 100 ml of ether.

The reaction mixture was worked up as described above, subsequent chromatography on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ gave compounds $11,13 \mathbf{1 5}$, and 17a,b.

Diethyl 4,5-diferrocenyloctan-2,7-dione-3,6-dicarboxylate (11), yield $0.71 \mathrm{~g}(22 \%$ from $\boldsymbol{E}-4)$ and $0.65 \mathrm{~g}(20 \%$, from $\boldsymbol{Z}-4)$ (hexaneethyl acetate, 3:1), yellow crystals, m.p. $143-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ): $\nu=485,709,780,820,858,920,1001,1021,1043,1106,1120,1184$, 1231, 1259, 1284, 1347, 1399, 1421, 1445, 1489, 1575, 1592, 1627, 1724, 2730, 2979, $3090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.39\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{FcCH}), 4.13\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.02(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.08(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 14.11\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.87\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 47.31,62.19(4 \mathrm{CH})$, $60.35\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.37\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.79,67.23,68.14,68.62\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $90.81\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right)$, 168.67 (2COOEt), 187.98 ( $2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 62.41; H, 5.85; Fe, 17.07. Found: C, $62.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.91 ; \mathrm{Fe}$, 16.86\%. MS: m/z 654 [M] ${ }^{+}$.
$2 R^{*}$-Acetyl- $2,5 S^{*}$-diethoxycarbonyl- $3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}$-diferrocenyl- $1 R^{*}$ methylcyclopentanol (13), yield 1.43 g ( $44 \%$ from $\boldsymbol{E}-4$ ) and 1.50 g ( $46 \%$, from Z-4) (hexane-ethyl acetate, $3: 1$ ), yellow crystals, m.p. $132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr): $\nu=483,704,769,822,851,916,1002,1020$, 1041, 1105, 1121, 1182, 1230, 1262, 1282, 1345, 1400, 1422, 1446, 1492, 1578, 1591, 1631, 1727, 2737, 2979, 3096, $3432 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.37\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.32(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.11\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.14\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=7.5,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.27\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{CH}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 13.98,14.05,21.63,31.89\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.96$, 47.01, $62.18(3 \mathrm{CH}), 61.53\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 68.56,68.82\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.61$, $66.78,67.99,68.40,68.78,69.21,69.66,69.91\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 80.52,82.56$ (2C), 84.28, 90.19 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}$ ), 165.04, 168.10 (2COOEt), 189.13 ( $\mathrm{C}=0$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 62.41; H, 5.85; Fe, 17.07. Found: C, 62.33 ; H, 5.74; Fe, 17.26\%. MS: m/z 654 [M] ${ }^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-ferrocenylmethyl(aceto)acetate (15), yield 0.27 g ( $8 \%$ from $\boldsymbol{E}-4$ ) and $0.3 \mathrm{~g}(9 \%$, from $\mathbf{Z - 4})$ (hexane), yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 0.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $J=6.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=4.5,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}$, $J=4.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.08\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.02$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.21\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 11.81,20.12\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.87,40.63\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.34(\mathrm{CH}), 68.18\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$,
66.83, 67.64, 67.91, $68.27\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 89.96$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}\right), 168.11$ (COOEt), 189.93 ( $\mathrm{MeC}=\mathrm{O}$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FeO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 62.21$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.14 ; \mathrm{Fe}$, 17.02. Found: C, 62.17; H, 6.21; Fe, 16.99\%. MS: m/z 328 [M] ${ }^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-(1-ferrocenylpropyl)acetoacetate (17a,b) ( $\sim 1: 1$ ), yield $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(7 \%$ from $\boldsymbol{E}-4)$ and $0.21 \mathrm{~g}(6 \%$, from $\boldsymbol{Z - 4})$ (hexane), yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.21$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.95-2.18(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{Et}\right), 2.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Fc})$, $4.03\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.07\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.10\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $4.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 12.13,12.23,13.79,13.97,20.23,20.64\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.69,26.98$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.42,43.87(2 \mathrm{FcCH}), 59.85,60.06(2 \mathrm{CH}), 61.29,61.71\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 68.52, $68.61\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 66.97,67.09,67.34,67.58,67.88,68.37,68.75$, $68.89\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 90.03,90.79$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{Fc}$ ), 167.81, 168.03 (2COOEt), 189.12, 189.26 ( $2 \mathrm{MeC}=\mathrm{O}$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FeO}_{3}$ : C, 64.06; H, 6.79; Fe, 15.68. Found: C, 64.12; H, 6.67; Fe, 15.74\%. MS: m/z356[M] ${ }^{+}$.
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## Appendix A. Supplementary material

CCDC-761352 (for 7a) and CCDC-761353 (for 12) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/const/retrieving. html [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge DB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (internat.) +44 1223/336 033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].
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